## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

February 18, 1997 LR 8

thought there would be too much power in the Governor's position.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR ROBINSON: And some just thought that there ought to be some...I guess I'd say, bipartisanship in the constitutional amendments. And then there were some that liked some, and then...you know, a couple of the changes, and then others that didn't...that liked some other changes. A couple that I liked, but that others didn't like. And I guess that would probably sum it up, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But a majority of the committee was of a mind that they should not automatically advance one of these proposals simply because it had been offered?

SENATOR ROBINSON: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And based on that...

SENATOR ROBINSON: And that was on of them, yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And based on that, they killed it?

SENATOR ROBINSON: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR ROBINSON: You bet.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, the committee behaved as a committee is supposed to. When a majority of the members hold a certain position, they are to vote in that manner. It is clear that there is not a feeling that every proposal ought automatically to be advanced. This is one of those which, in my opinion, fits into that category. There is no pressing need for it. It can be offered at any other time. It could clutter the ballot, and Senator Cudaback even has acknowledged that not that much difference is going to be made if we don't put it to the public. What I hope that a majority of the senators will do is either vote no on the advancement or